Jackknife

Max Turgeon

STAT 3150—Statistical Computing

• Use jackknife to estimate the bias and standard error of an estimator.

Motivation i

- In the previous module, we saw how we can could perform estimation and hypothesis testing using simulations.
 - Main idea: Simulate data from a fixed distribution, compute estimate/test statistic, and repeat the simulation to approximate the sampling distribution.
- This approach can be very powerful when studying the behaviour of estimators, or when comparing multiple testing strategies.
- However, there is a big obstacle in applying these methods for data analysis:
 - They all assume we know the data generating mechanism.

- How can we apply these same principles for data analysis?
 - Resampling methods
- We will study resampling methods for the next three modules, and we will see how they can be used for data analysis.

Jackknife i

- The **jackknife** is a method that was first introduced to estimate the *bias* of an estimator.
- We start with a sample X_1, \ldots, X_n . From that sample, we compute an estimate $\hat{\theta}$ of a parameter θ .

• We are interested in estimating $E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$.

• For each *i*, we can also create another sample by *omitting* the *i*-th observation:

$$X_1,\ldots,X_{i-1},X_{i+1},\ldots,X_n.$$

- For each of these sample, we can also compute an estimate $\hat{\theta}_{(i)}$ of $\theta.$

- E.g compute the sample mean or variance while omitting the *i*-th observation
- In other words, we now have n+1 estimates of $\theta!$
- The jackknife estimate of the bias $E(\hat{\theta}) \theta$ is given by

$$\widehat{\text{bias}}_{jack} = (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\theta}_{(i)} - \hat{\theta} \right).$$

• Consider the following two estimate of the variance:

$$\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i - \bar{X} \right)^2, \quad \hat{\sigma}_2^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i - \bar{X} \right)^2.$$

- The only difference is the constant in front of the sum, which implies that $\hat{\sigma}_2^2$ is the unbiased estimate.
- Let's compute the jackknife bias estimate of $\hat{\sigma}_1^2$.

Example ii

```
# Generate a random sample
```

n <- 20

```
xvars <- rgamma(n, shape = 3, rate = 5.5)</pre>
```

```
# Compute the estimate
theta_hat <- mean((xvars - mean(xvars))^2)
c("estimate" = theta_hat,
    "theoretical" = 3/5.5^2)</pre>
```

```
## estimate theoretical
```

```
## 0.06026442 0.09917355
```

Example iii

```
# Jackknife
theta_i_hat <- numeric(n)
for (i in 1:n) {
    xvars_jack <- xvars[-i]
    mean_i <- mean(xvars_jack)
    theta_i_hat[i] <- mean((xvars_jack - mean_i)^2)
}</pre>
```

Example iv

Estimate of bias
(bias <- (n-1)*(mean(theta_i_hat) - theta_hat))</pre>

```
## [1] -0.003171811
```

```
c("De-biased" = theta_hat - bias,
    "Unbiased" = var(xvars))
```

De-biased Unbiased
0.06343623 0.06343623

Example i

- Consider the **patch** dataset in the **bootstrap** package. It contains measurements of a certain hormone on the bloodstream of 8 individuals, after wearing a patch.
- For each individual, we have three measurements: placebo, oldpatch, and newpatch.
- The parameter of interest is a ratio of differences:

$$\theta = \frac{E(\text{newpatch}) - E(\text{oldpatch})}{E(\text{oldpatch}) - E(\text{placebo})}.$$

Example ii

library(bootstrap) str(patch)

8 obs. of 6 variables: 'data.frame': ## ## \$ subject : int 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ## \$ placebo : num 9243 9671 11792 13357 9055 ... \$ oldpatch: ## num 17649 12013 19979 21816 13850 ... ## \$ newpatch: num 16449 14614 17274 23798 12560 ... \$ z 8406 2342 8187 8459 4795 ... ## : num \$ v ## : num -1200 2601 -2705 1982 -1290 ...

Example iii

- y is newpatch oldpatch, and z is oldpatch placebo.
- Recall that $E(X/Y) \neq E(X)/E(Y)$. So even if we have an unbiased estimate of both the numerator and the denominator of θ , their ratio will generally be **biased**.

Estimate of theta
theta_hat <- mean(patch\$y)/mean(patch\$z)</pre>

Example iv

```
# Jackknife
n <- nrow(patch)
theta_i <- numeric(n)
for (i in 1:n) {
   theta_i[i] <- mean(patch[-i,"y"])/mean(patch[-i,"z"])
}</pre>
```

Estimate of bias
(bias <- (n-1)*(mean(theta_i) - theta_hat))</pre>

[1] 0.008002488

c("Biased" = theta_hat, "De-biased" = theta_hat - bias)

Biased De-biased ## -0.07130610 -0.07930858 • The bias is significant: it represents 11% of the estimate.

But be careful:

NOT THE SAME THING
mean(patch\$y/patch\$z)

[1] 0.0379914

• The jackknife can also be used to estimate the standard error of an estimate:

$$\widehat{\operatorname{se}}_{jack} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\widehat{\theta}_{(i)} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\widehat{\theta}_{(i)}\right)^{2}}$$

Continuing on with the patch dataset
(se <- sqrt((n-1)*mean((theta_i - mean(theta_i))^2)))</pre>

```
## [1] 0.1055278
```

```
# 95% CI
c("LB" = theta_hat - bias - 1.96*se,
    "UB" = theta hat - bias + 1.96*se)
```

LB UB ## -0.2861430 0.1275259

Example i

- We will consider the **law** dataset in the **bootstrap** package.
- It contains information on average LSAT and GPA scores for 15 law schools.
- We are interested in the correlation ρ between these two variables

library(bootstrap)
str(law)

```
## 'data.frame': 15 obs. of 2 variables:
## $ LSAT: num 576 635 558 578 666 580 555 661
651 605 ...
## $ GPA : num 3.39 3.3 2.81 3.03 3.44 3.07 3
3.43 3.36 3.13 ...
```

Estimate of rho

(rho_hat <- cor(law\$LSAT, law\$GPA))</pre>

[1] 0.7763745

Jackknife

```
n <- nrow(law)
```

```
rho_i <- numeric(n)</pre>
```

```
for (i in 1:n) {
    rho_i[i] <- cor(law$LSAT[-i], law$GPA[-i])
}</pre>
```

Estimate of bias
(bias <- (n-1)*(mean(rho_i) - rho_hat))</pre>

[1] -0.006473623

```
c("Biased" = rho_hat,
 "De-biased" = rho_hat - bias)
```

Biased De-biased

0.7763745 0.7828481

Example v

```
(se <- sqrt((n-1)*mean((rho_i - mean(rho_i))^2)))</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.1425186
```

```
# 95% CI
c("LB" = rho_hat - bias - 1.96*se,
    "UB" = rho hat - bias + 1.96*se)
```

LB UB ## 0.5035116 1.0621846

Final remarks i

- The jackknife is a simple resampling technique to estimate bias and standard error.
 - The idea is to remove one observation at a time and recompute the estimate, so that we get a sample from the sampling distribution.
- The theoretical details behind the jackknife are beyond the scope for this course. But two important observations:
 - The "debiased" estimate is generally only asymptotically unbiased. But its bias goes to 0 "more quickly" than the bias of the original estimator.
 - The jackknife only works well for "smooth plug-in estimators".
 In particular, the jackknife does **not** work well with the median.

- The jackknife was generalized in two important ways:
 - Bootstrap: This will be the topic of the next lecture.
 - **Cross-validation**: This is a method for estimating the prediction error (see STAT 4250).