Filtering Joins

Max Turgeon

SCI 2000-Introduction to Data Science

- Understand the difference between a mutating join and a filtering join
- Be able to recognize when to use each type
- Be able to transform datasets using set operations

- In the previous lecture, we talked about **mutating joins**.
 - Create new dataset by combining two datasets with a common variable
- Today we will talk about **filtering joins**.
 - Filter a dataset based on its relationship with another dataset
- For completeness, we will also talk about set operations that can be used with relational data.

- The starting point is still the same:
 - We have two data.frames x and y
 - They have a variable in common that allows us to match rows across
- In filtering joins, we want to filter the rows of x based on their relationship with the rows of y.
 - In particular, the output of a filtering join is a *subset* of **x**.

 In a semijoin, we only keep the rows of x with a corresponding match in y



```
library(tidyverse)
```

```
df_beers <- read_csv("beers.csv")
df_breweries <- read_csv("breweries.csv")</pre>
```

```
# Top 5 states for # breweries
state_top5 <- df_breweries %>%
    count(state) %>%
    top_n(5)
```

state_top5

##	#	A tib	ole:	5	Х	2
##		state		n		
##		<chr></chr>	<in<sup>†</in<sup>	t>		
##	1	CA		39		
##	2	C0	4	47		
##	3	MI		32		
##	4	OR	-	29		
##	5	ТХ	4	28		

breweries_top5

- ## # A tibble: 175 x 4
- ## brewery_id name city state
- ## <dbl> <chr> <chr> <chr>
- ## 1 3 Mike Hess Brewing Company San Diego CA
- ## 2 4 Fort Point Beer Company San Francisco CA
- ## 3 6 Great Divide Brewing Company Denver CO

- ## 4 7 Tapistry Brewing Bridgman MI
- ## 5 8 Big Lake Brewing Holland MI
- ## 6 9 The Mitten Brewing Company Grand Rapids MI
- ## 7 10 Brewery Vivant Grand Rapids MI
- ## 8 11 Petoskey Brewing Petoskey MI
- ## 9 12 Blackrocks Brewery Marquette MI
- ## 10 13 Perrin Brewing Company Comstock Park MI
- ## # ... with 165 more rows

Example vi

##	3	American	Amber / Red Ale	57
##	4	American	Double / Imperial IPA	43
##	5	American	Blonde Ale	38
##	6	American	Pale Wheat Ale	38
##	7	Saison /	Farmhouse Ale	24
##	8	American	Brown Ale	21
##	9	Cider		21
##	10	American	Stout	20
##	# .	with 7	6 more rows	

• In an **antijoin**, we only keep the rows of **x** *without* a corresponding match in **y**



breweries_nottop5

- ## # A tibble: 383 x 4
- ## brewery_id name city state
- ## <dbl> <chr> <chr> <chr>
- ## 1 0 NorthGate Brewing Minneapolis MN
- ## 2 1 Against the Grain Brewery Louisville KY

3 2 Jack's Abby Craft Lagers Framingham MA ## 4 5 COAST Brewing Company Charleston SC ## 5 16 Flat 12 Bierwerks Indianapolis IN ## 6 17 Tin Man Brewing Company Evansville IN ## 7 18 Black Acre Brewing Co. Indianapolis IN ## 8 19 Brew Link Brewing Plainfield IN ## 9 20 Bare Hands Brewery Granger IN ## 10 21 Three Pints Brewing Martinsville IN ## # ... with 373 more rows

Example iv

##	3	American Amber / Red Ale	76
##	4	American Blonde Ale	70
##	5	American Double / Imperial IPA	62
##	6	American Pale Wheat Ale	59
##	7	American Brown Ale	49
##	8	American Porter	49
##	9	Fruit / Vegetable Beer	36
##	10	Witbier	31
##	#	with 82 more rows	

Filter the dataset **flights** from the **nycflights13** package to only show flights with planes that have flown at least 100 flights.

```
library(nycflights13)
```

```
planes100 <- flights %>%
    count(tailnum) %>%
    filter(n >= 100)
```

```
# Do we get flights with missing
# tail number?
flights100 %>%
  filter(is.na(tailnum)) %>%
  nrow
```

[1] 2512

• You can join using more than one variable:

inner_join(x, y, by = c("var1", "var2"))

• You can join even when the same variable is named differently:

inner_join(x, y, by = c("name1" = "name2"))

- Here, the setup is slightly different.
 - We still have two data.frames x and y.
 - But we assume they have **exactly** the same variables.
- We want to create a new dataset **z** that will also have the same variables as **x** and **y**.
- There are three different set operations:
 - Union: z has the unique observations from x and y.
 - Intersection: z has the observations common between x and y.
 - Set difference: z has the observations from x that are not in y.

```
library(tidyverse)
df1 <- tibble(
  x = c(1, 2),
  y = c(1, 1)
)
df2 <- tibble(
  x = c(1, 1),
  y = c(1, 2)
)
```

```
# Note that we get 3 rows, not 4
# because of duplicates
union(df1, df2)
```

##	#	А	tibb	ole:	3	х	2
##			х		у		
##		<(dbl>	<db< td=""><td>ι></td><td></td><td></td></db<>	ι>		
##	1		1		1		
##	2		2		1		
##	3		1		2		

Set operations iv

```
intersect(df1, df2)
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 2
## x y
## <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 1 1
```

Set operations v

```
setdiff(df1, df2)
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 2
## x y
## <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 2 1
```

```
# The order is important!
setdiff(df2, df1)
```

##	#	А	tib	ole:	1	Х	2
##			Х		у		
##		<0	dbl>	<db< td=""><td>l></td><td></td><td></td></db<>	l>		
##	1		1		2		

Find the states with at least 30 breweries. Create a dataset that contains information about beers from these states. Using linear regression, investigate whether there is a significant difference between the average ABV for beers from these states.

Solution i

- There are several ways of doing this, but a key observation is that we need the variable state to appear in the final dataset, otherwise we can't use it as a covariate.
- This suggests that the final dataset should be created using a *mutating* join.
- Given that we only want beers from some states, we also want to choose an **inner join**.
- Finally, the inner join should be between df_beers and the subset of df_breweries corresponding to these top states.

```
# One solution: group by state
# and use n() inside filter
breweries_30 <- df_breweries %>%
group_by(state) %>%
filter(n() >= 30) # n() counts per group
```

count(dataset, state, sort = TRUE)

- ## # A tibble: 3 x 2
- ## state n
- ## <chr> <int>
- ## 1 CO 265
- ## 2 CA 183
- ## 3 MI 162

```
fit <- lm(abv ~ state, data = dataset)</pre>
fit
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = abv ~ state, data = dataset)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept) stateC0
                                stateMT
##
     0.061082 0.002290 0.002295
```

confint(fit)

##		2.5 %	97.5 %
##	(Intercept)	0.0589595040	0.063205331
##	stateC0	-0.0005010600	0.005080225
##	stateMI	-0.0008575131	0.005447645

```
# Alternatively, we can use a semijoin
# to create breweries_30
breweries_top <- df_breweries %>%
    count(state) %>%
    filter(n >= 30)
```